

Case No.19 (OMB) of 2025.

Re: Dispute raised by Mr. Abdul Masood, Hony. General Secretary, Satya-Sandhi, referred by the Hony. Secretary, CAB under Rule 70(1)(a) of the Cricket Association of Bengal (CAB) Rules.

Present:-

For Complainant

1. Mr. Abdul Masood, appearing in person.
2. Ms. Debanwita Pramanik, Advocate.
3. Md. Sajid Hussain, Advocate.
4. Mr. Sayan Roy, Advocate.
5. Mr. Simon Arora, Advocate.

For Opposite party

1. Mr. Sandip Kumar De, Senior Advocate.
2. Mr. Malay Kumar Singh, Advocate.
3. Mr. Kaustav Banerjee, Advocate.

For CAB

1. Mr. Samrat Sen, Senior Advocate.
2. Mr. Kaushik Mandal, Advocate.

Order No. 3 dated 13th December, 2025.

Sub: Application of Mr. Kaustav Banerjee, learned Advocate of Satya-Sandhi Club having its address at 181/5A, A.P.C Road, Kolkata- 700004, submitted on 25th November, 2025 before the undersigned.

In the above-mentioned application, the applicant has prayed for deletion and/or expunging a portion of the Order from paragraph 3 at page 2 of the Order dated 22nd November, 2025, starting with word "Incidentally", passed by the undersigned.

To be more precise, for indicating the dispute which was before the undersigned, It is mentioned that, Satya-Sandhi Club was identified by its two different addresses and the Registration Nos. also in paragraph 3 at page 2 of the Order dated 22nd November, 2025. Satya-Sandhi Club which is represented by the Abdul Masood, was described as Satya-Sandhi Club which had its registered address at 181/5A , APC Road, Kolkata – 700004 and subsequently shifted to 16A, Balu Hakkak Lane, Kolkata – 700017 is having registration no. SO147270 of 2007-2008, whereas the Satya-Sandhi Club which is represented by the applicant was described as Satya-Sandhi Club having its office at 181/5A, APC Road, Kolkata – 700004 which is claimed to have registered under the West Bengal Societies Registration Act, 1961 having registration no. 11071 of 1949.

Dispute before the undersigned was to ascertain which Satya-Sandhi Club is affiliated to CAB.

Since, on identical issue a civil suit is pending between the parties before the competent Civil Court, the parties were relegated to the Civil Court for deciding their dispute in the pending Civil Suit. Certain interim order was passed as a match was fixed on 25th November, 2025 in which Satya-Sandhi was a competitor/participant.

In the present application, the applicant has prayed for deletion of the following portion of the sentence appearing at paragraph 3 of page 2 of the Order dated 22nd November, 2025:-

“.....and subsequently shifted to 16A, Balu Hakkak Lane, Kolkata – 700017 is having registration no. SO 147270 of 2007- 2008”

Mr. De, learned Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that, if the recording of the order in paragraph 3 at page 2 of the said order is kept unaltered, it may prejudice the rights of his client as a misleading impression may be created by such recording by accepting the same as the finding of the undersigned. Accordingly, he has prayed for deletion of the portion of the recording as indicated above or alternatively invited the undersigned to clarify that the said portion of the Order is not the finding of the undersigned.

Abdul Masood appearing for Satya-Sandhi having its registered no. SO147270 of 2007-2008, disputes such contention of Mr. De by submitting that the Order of the undersigned is clear and unambiguous and it does not require to be changed in the manner as prayed for.

The undersigned agrees with the submission of Abdul Masood and holds that no confusion will arise even if that portion of the recording is not deleted as reading of the Order as a whole will make it absolutely clear that the findings of the undersigned were recorded from the first paragraph at page 5 of the Order, with the sentence starting with "Learned counsel representing the parties were heard at length." and the paragraphs preceding thereto are all prelude to the findings, which were recorded to indicate the issue which was involved between the parties in the said proceeding before the undersigned. The application is thus disposed of without any alternation but with the above observations.

CAB is directed to communicate this order to all concerned, at the earliest.

Jyotirmay Bhattacharya

Justice Jyotirmay Bhattacharya
Former Chief Justice, High Court at Calcutta,
Presently, Ombudsman, Cricket Association of Bengal